An Initial Report Examining the History of MV Foundation as a Resource from 1991 to 2013

By Victoria Measles

September 24,2013

MV Foundation 201, Narayan Apartments, West Marredpally, Secunderabad - 500026, AP India Tel+91 (40) 27801320 Fax+91 (40) 27808808 http://www.mvfindia.in



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### Contents

MV FOUNDATION AS A RESOURCE ORGANIZATION:	0
Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Methodology	2
The Trajectory of MVF into a Resource Organization	3
MVF Beginnings as a Research and Grant Institution	
The Transition to Child Rights	4
MVF as Implementer	5
Timeline of Initial MVF Resource Stages	6
Current Role as a Resource	7
The Training Process	10
Community Stakeholders	10
Non-Governmental Organizations	12
Government Officials	20
The Influence of MVF Ideologies and Practices	26
State Government Policy	26
National Government	28
Conclusion	30
Appendix	32
NGOs in India	32
International NGOs	33
State Enrollment Through AIE Interventions	34
Contact Information	40
NGO Information	40

#### Abstract

The aim of this report is to chart the growth of MV Foundation as a resource organization over time from its beginning in 1991 to the present day. The focus is to understand how MV Foundation has acted as a resource to its staff, communities, government officials and NGOs both in India and abroad. The report also explores the effects of MV Foundation's training and resources. This is the first study into MVF's role as a resource over time and will require further research of this nature to understand the full role MV Foundation has had by acting as a resource and influencing communities, NGOs and governments.

#### Introduction

MV Foundation has always been a resource in order to spread the principal idea that all children must be out of work, and in formal school. Through mobilizing, advocating and implementing Residential Bridge Courses, MVF succeeded in scaling up their program and moving it to the government. This has lead them to become more of a resource organization over time, offering insight, advice and trainings so that the message is reaches even further. This report is a study of MVF's role as a resource organization as it has changed over time, and how MVF continues to be a resource in the present.

#### Methodology

In order to chart the trajectory of MV Foundation since its inception this study is based largely on historical research in the form of document research and oral interviews. Primary research for this report was conducted while working as an intern at MV Foundation from June to August 2013. Interning at MV Foundation lent to a wealth of accessible information at the MV Foundation resource center at its office in Secunderabad. Andhra Pradesh. All documents, both paper and electronic, were made accessible for the purposes of this report. Much of the information draws upon annual reports by MV Foundation and assessment reports about MVF conducted by outside organizations. Interviews were held with the founder of MV Foundation, the MVF National Convener, and six MVF resource persons. An interview was also conducted with the director of the National Child Labour Project (NCLP) scheme and a government employee who has also worked with MVF in the past while employed at various NGOs. Firsthand information was also gathered about MV Foundation's training process during a weeklong training of visitors from Kenya and Uganda. I witnessed the discussions between the groups and MV Foundation, and how ideas were explained and applied from the Indian context to the Kenyan and Ugandan contexts.

# The Trajectory of MVF into a Resource Organization: A Brief History

#### MVF BEGINNINGS AS A RESEARCH AND GRANT INSTITUTION

MamidipudiVenkatarangaiya Foundation (MVF) began in 1981 as a family trust in the name of Shantha Sinha's grandfather, MamidipudiVenkatarangaiah. The trust initially focused on social science research, issues on development and change in India and to encourage higher education for low income students. The foundation provided financial assistance to lower income students in the process of getting their PhD. <sup>1</sup>

The transition to focus on primary education and children's rights began with Shantha Sinha.Sinha at that time was working as a graduate advisor at Hyderabad Central University and involved with theShramikVidyapeeth workers education program. The program was a government scheme and an extension of Hyderabad Central University. It focused on skill development and awareness for workers. It also got involved in helping workers learn about their rights and laws, especially for bonded laborers. Currently, it is known as the Institute of People's Education and is "an autonomous institution sponsored by the Ministry of Human Resource Development, Govt. of India & functioning under the auspices of University of Hyderabad."<sup>2</sup>While working with the program Sinha found 40%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Sinha, S. (2013, July 4). Interview on MV Foundation. (V. Measles, Interviewer)

people bonded were children. This sparked an interest to change the MV Foundation from higher education to children's education and children's rights.<sup>3</sup>

#### THE TRANSITION TO CHILD RIGHTS

Sinha felt the issue of child labor should be focused on more directly, outside of ShramikVidyapeeth, which did not focus on child labor. Sinha put the issue before the trust, asking that MV Foundation focus on child rights and education. The trust agreed and the shift happened in 1991. Sinha says that that although there were charity organizations for children at that time, there were no NGOs working within a rights based framework for children.<sup>4</sup>Also no NGOs that were actively engaging with the government and the system to protect child rights and their right to an education.

The condition of child labor and the lack of action at that time lead MV Foundation to switch to focusing exclusively on children in 1991. They developed a framework over that time period which is known as the 'Non-Negotiables':

All children must attend full-time formal day schools.

Any child out of school is a child labourer.

All work/labour is hazardous; it harms the overall growth and development of the child.

Hyderabad, U. o. (2012). *Jan Shikshan Sansthan*. University of Hyderabad. Retrieved from http://www.uohyd.ac.in/index.php/component/content/article/161-more-links/366

<sup>3</sup> Sinha, 2013 <sup>4</sup> Sinha, 2013

There must be total abolition of child labour.

#### Any justification perpetuating the existence of child labour must be condemned.<sup>5</sup>

Using this framework MVF has been able to implement Residential Bridge Course programs as well as mobilize communities, give trainings and affect government policy and programs.

#### **MVF AS IMPLEMENTER**

In its initial stages, MVF was a heavily research based organization. In order to find a solution to the problem of child labor, the foundation first went into communities to understand the problem. The foundation continues researching and documenting, and started implementing programs. MV Foundation began to run the Residential Bridge Course program. According to MV Foundation, over 50,000 children have passed out of theirresidential bridge course camps and over 1500 villages have been made child labor free. They write that, "these are the models on which many organizations, governments and countries have modeled their campaigns against child labor."<sup>6</sup>

According to MV Foundation, their work hasbeen successful mainly due to the resource persons they influence and train. According to RekhaWazir, "The value of their contributions is evidenced in the concrete achievements and outcomes in several other

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MVF, 'Children on the Move: A Guide to Residential Bridge Course Camps' Page 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> MVF Annual Report, 2011-2012. Page 1.

states that have invited MVF to facilitate in getting their educational efforts off the ground. Viewed thus, these resource persons collectively act as virtual substitutes, or proxies, for a missing movement – compensating for and bypassing various institutional constraints in the host environments. A crucial part of this process of diffusion is the development of local institutional capacities to act independently as rapidly and effectively as possible."<sup>7</sup>

It is through the mobilization of youth and local members that MVF's strategy was able to be implemented to such a large extent, and sustained in distant areas. Having a decentralized and semi-autonomous organization has greatly benefitted MV Foundation. It has led to countless resource personas and also led to widespread implementation.

#### TIMELINE OF INITIAL MVF RESOURCE STAGES<sup>8</sup>

-1992 MVF started training youth on child labor and then "realized education is the key for changing a child's life". This helped children get more respect from others including upper caste community, which is crucial to lower caste children's future prospects.

-1992 MVF informally started training teachers through conversations. It began with discussions and understanding MVF principals and ideals before formally training others.

-1993-1994 MVF started training School Management Committees now known as Parent-Teacher Associations. They began to look after the quality of schools and children's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Review by RekhaWazir and AshwaniSaith. February 2010. Page 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Interview with Raju on July 30, 2013. Interviewed by Victoria Measles.

experiences at school. MVF trained them how to demand from the government, and prepare them for the possible responses by the government. MVF focused on how to train the parents especially of first generation children. MVF worker Raju stated that, "Every meeting is a training".

-1994 MVF started training teachers but many teachers were resistant to teaching children who were former child-laborers. They believed that the children would not be intelligent enough and would be a disturbance in class. Many teachers were given exposure to RBCs to change their minds and see how capable all children are.

-1994-1995 Wardens of government school hostels were given MVF training to sensitize them to the needs of children who are former child-laborers.

-1996-1997 MVF founded mandal level Parents Teachers Associations.

-1996-1997 MVF started working with women's groups to bring Child Labor into their discussions

-1997-1998 MF convinced the state to close the NFE centers9

#### CURRENT ROLE AS A RESOURCE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Interview with Raju 2013

MV Foundation has used a resource model since 1996. That year the training model was decentralized to incorporate those trained by MVF as resource persons to give trainings. "The villages school teachers, members of gram panchayats, youth associations, and women's groups were actively involved in narrating for the training program. In this way, the groups endorsed the activities of the child labourprogramme by acting as resource persons for the training program."<sup>10</sup>Using this method, 200 participants were trained that year.

MVF employs orientations, exposures to RBC Camps, perspective building techniques and capacity building techniques. While the basic principles and ideologies remain the same for all trainings, the approach is changed depending on the needs of those seeking MVF's assistance.

In trainings with NGOs or government officials who aim to start a Residential Bridge Course Camp, MV Foundation provides practical information. These trainings including information on expenses, teachers, curriculum, and other realistic advice necessary to open an RBC camp. In 1995 MV Foundation held a workshop with staff who had active roles in implementing the bridge course camps. From that workshop, MVF created a 7 day module on bridge course camps to use for training volunteers. They also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> MVF Annual Report 1996-1997. Page 11.

created a 2 day module for training youth on the principals of eliminating child labor and getting children into school.<sup>11</sup>

Although trainings include realistic advice on how to run the program and the camps, a large part of training focuses on the MVF ideology. One of the key strategies to expand the MVF model has been to pass on the 'bigger picture' of child rights. This is the 'perspective building' technique. In order for the program to be successful, it is necessary that all people involved learn the non-negotiable principals in order to fuel the work that they do. In regards to training, Shantha Sinha said, "Training should focus on broader issues of democracy, development and change in the country. Look at children's rights in a larger perspective. When we train, we must give them a sense that they were part of a huge reality even though they were working in a small community."<sup>12</sup> . In order to gain the support of communities, MVF believes that everyone, even on the grassroots level, should learn the state of child labor in the nation and compared to the workd. This helps those touched by MV Foundation to have a basis for understanding, becoming impassioned and advocating for child rights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> M. Venkatarangiaya Foundation. *Annual Progress Report 1995-1996.* Page 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Interview with Shantha Sinha on July 4, 2013

#### The Training Process

#### COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS

Community involvement is crucial for the MV Foundation model to be successful. MVF has worked to develop members of school education committees, gram panchayats, teachers, local officials and women leaders as resource groups and resource persons. In order to sustain the movement against child labor, MV Foundation began training and handing over major roles of implementation to non-MVF groups in communities in 1998.<sup>13</sup> Once MVF staff trained community members in the main principals as well as how to run the program the MVF staff takes a step back and lets the groups implement the program. The responsibilities handed over to these non-MVF groups include, "listing out the number of school going and non-school going children in the village, addressing the issue of child labor with a focus on non-school going girls, mobilizing resources for the development of the school and planning for the school needs, regularly conducting meetings with all village institutions, bringing drop outs back to school and ensuring they remain in school etc."<sup>14</sup> After the program is taken up by stakeholders the MVF staff is still available to help when needed and becomes a guest at meetings instead of the primary

<sup>14</sup> M. Venkatarangiaya Foundation. Annual Progress Report (January 1999 to December 1999). Page 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> M. Venkatarangiaya Foundation. Annual Progress Report (January 1999 to December 1999). Page 6.

leaders. By letting go of some power, MVF succeeded in creating systems that communities willingly perpetuated.

Community stakeholders who have shown the most investment in child rights are youth, government teachers, women's groups and the local government. MV Foundation's involvement affects not only children, but also for teachers, being involved with MV Foundation has "given them the occasion to do some introspection and redefine their roles as teachers in the government schools."<sup>15</sup> Often leading to teachers being inspired to show up to class, to be more willing to engage with students and to think outside of the box when teaching the curriculum. It lead to the formation of the BKVV (BalaKarmikaVimochanaVedika), an organization formed by four government school teachers in April 1997<sup>16</sup>.

MV Foundation also works with women's groups as an effort to mobilize women for the protection of children's rights. By MVF spreading the ideology that all children must and can be in formal school, many mothers have internalized the message and became resource persons themselves. Many had wanted to give their children (especially daughters) better lives but weren't able to. Once some women were able to put their children in school through the help of MV Foundation, they then became resource persons in influencing other mother's to also get their children into schools.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> M. Venkatarangiaya Foundation. *Annual Progress Report 1995-1996*. Page 10.
 <sup>16</sup> MVF Report 1996-1997. Page 5.

Many of these women were organized into DWCA groups and used that platform to spread the message in favor of child rights.<sup>17</sup> The DWCRA is a government run program to empower women living in poverty. The groups are primarily for economic and social empowerment.<sup>18</sup> MV Foundation has paired with some of these groups in order to speak at meetings and to help them mobilize for children. Because of the influence of MVF, many DWCRA groups have organized marches and became vocal in their communities for the rights of all children to be in formal schools.

In order for the MVF approach on eliminating child labor to work, it takes the involvement of communities. According to Dhananjay, an MVF resource person and program coordinator, the most difficult part of acting as a resource is challenging the basic assumptions and belief systems held by communities, governments and non-profits.<sup>19</sup> To overcome the obstacle, MVF uses trainings, workshops, discussions and visits to functioning RBCs to show that change is possible and that all children deserve the right to be out of work and in formal schools.

#### NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Sinha, 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>DWCRA – A Successful Experiment to Emacipate Rural Women in Andhra Pradesh. Published in "Development with Human Touch" ed.Dr.D.V. Rao &Dr.Vijaykumar, Serial Publications, New Delhi. April, 2001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Interview with Dhananjay on July 31, 2013. Interviewed by Victoria Measles.

MV Foundation created the non-negotiable model and was the first to begin running Residential Bridge Courses enabling former child laborers to be mainstreamed into government school. Because of their experience, MV Foundation acted as a resource to other non-governmental organizations who also actively seek to end child labour and universalize education. MV Foundation has trained and influenced NGOs statewide, nationally and globally. The most important aspect of trainings is helping other NGOs to understand the non-negotiable principals and the importance of helping *all* children have their rights realized. Once the principles are understood, discussions are held to see how the program can work in the unique situations

MVF Began working in the Ranga Reddy District of Andhra Pradesh in the early 1990s.In Andhra Pradesh MV Foundation has worked with, among others, DREAM, CCN, Conare, SharamikaVikasaKendram, Homo Sapiens, Sodhana and Sagit.MV Foundation has also worked with NGOs in Gujarat, Rajasthan, Maharastra, Bihar, Delhi, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Jharkhand, West Bengal, Orissa, and Tamil Nadu.<sup>20</sup>Some of the NGOs include: LokJumbish, ApekshaHomeo Society, Navjyothi, SanarpanJankalyanSamithi, Sampark, Vikas Kendra, Indian Hilfe, CINI-ASHA and CPEACE.<sup>21</sup>

Although the principles and essential content of trainings remains the same from MVF, the situations in different communities differ, therefore have different needs. MV

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> MVF excel document "NGOs"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> MVF excel document "NGOs"

Foundation works to create social norms instead of imposing views. One example of MVF's work with NGOs is with Action Aid in Bihar where they worked with scheduled castes in remote areas. Swamy, an MVF resource person, was deputed there from 2005 to 2006 to work with two NGOs funded by Action Aid, GraminVikas Kendra (GVS) and Samasik Shod EvamVikas (SSEVK). There he and other MVF workers oriented and built the capacity of district officials on the issue of child labor. He also established support groups in the state.

Originally the NGOs were focused solely on the Mushaher (scheduled caste) community. When they asked for MVF's assistance however, MVF convinced them over time to work with all children in the village in order to ease the caste tensions. One main technique MVF advocates is supporting and transforming communities to work together instead of in in separate groups. <sup>22</sup> MVF attributes much of its success to their holistic community based approach to conflicts.

Bhaskar, an MVF resource person, worked in 1998 in Mahboob Nagar with various NGOs offering trainings on the fundamentals as well as practical advice.<sup>23</sup> He also worked with NGOs in other states. He has worked with UP in Rajasthan, Indian Hilfe in West Bengal and other NGOs in Orissa. He stated that the most crucial work he has done in order to perpetuate MVF's work is changing the minds of communities and NGOs in order

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>Swamy, A. (2013, July 31). MVF Interview. (V. Measles, Interviewer)
<sup>23</sup>Bhaskar. (2013, August 1). MVF Interview (V. Measles, Interviewer)

to show them that it is possible for all children to go to government formal school. To do this he exposed them to RBC camps that had already been functioning and could convince others that they can replicate the model for their own local children.

MV Foundation has worked (and is still working) with ApekshaHomeo Society in Maharastra. The society consists of about 20 NGOs in Maharastra. While working with them Bhaskar was a resource of advice on how to run RBC camps, create Child Rights Protection Forums, and mobilize communities. Bhaskar and 9 other MVF workers have been working with ApekshaHomeo Society in Maharastra for 5 years and act as a resource for the entire network of NGOs affiliated. <sup>24</sup>

MVF has worked with international organizations including Asman Nepal, UNICEF, Catholic Relief Services, UNDP, India Committee of the Netherlands, and the International Labor Organization. Also, "MVF has done capacity building workshops on 'Child Labour and Education' for NGOs working in India who are supported by UNDP."<sup>25</sup>

From 2003 to 2005 MV Foundation worked with the NGOs *Siripuraj Community Development Centre* and *Asman Nepal* to assist in their goal of opening residential bridge courses in Nepal. <sup>26</sup>Initially the NGOs visited MVF and were attracted by MVF's ideology. After trying to implement a project similar to MVF but facing many difficulties the NGOs requested help from MV Foundation. In 2005 Dhanasiri Prakash went to Nepal to work

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Interview with Bhaskar 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> MVF Guide to Residential Bridge Course Camps. Page 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Victoria Measles interview with Dhanasiri Prakash on August 6, 2013.

with the two NGOs. His work as a resource person in Nepal was funded by the Save the Children Fund.

Prakash spent the first 3 days training all of the staff involved in the project on child rights and the non-negotiables. He also gave practical trainings on how to mobilize communities and form support groups. From there, Prakash did first hand field trainings with the members of the NGOs in the campaign. Prakash used skills he had gained from working in Andhra Pradesh and other Indian states in order to show the NGOs how to implement the project. An important aspect of the training was how to conduct field surveys. Using the method of going door-to-door, MVF has proved this to be the most effective way of finding out which children are going to school and which children are working.<sup>27</sup> The figures gathered are important in keeping track of all children in the areas of the project.

After the surveys Prakash conducted motivational training with the 15-20 NGO members working on the project. Motivational campaigns must include all aspect of the community including parents, children, government school teachers, youth and local government figures. In Nepal Prakash helped the NGOs Siripuraj Community Development Centre and Asman Nepal to communicate with the *Village Development Communities (VDCs)* which are government elected bodies that comprise of 4 villages

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Prakash interview 2013

each.<sup>28</sup> While in Nepal, the NGOs with the assistance of MVF worked with 5 VDCs for a total of 20 villages.

The NGOs in Nepal focused on Scheduled Caste and Schedules Tribe children in the villages because of their low economic situations and the discrimination they faced within the villages. In the beginning, these NGOs ran afterschool programs (also known as "tuition centers") for these children. Working children visited the NGOs afterschool program and many did not attend regular government schools.<sup>29</sup> However, MVF ideology is one of inclusion and Prakash suggested integrating all children into government schools instead of creating separate afterschool programs. To ensure that children left work, the tuition centers were closed and MVF and the NGOs organized in favor of all children attending full daytime schools.

In order to get community support for the move of all children into school Prakash formed a Child Rights Protection Forum in Nepal to support and maintain the process. A BKVVteacher's forum was also created to motivate teachers to advocate for children's rights as well as children's education. The NGOs in Nepal were unable to maintain residential bridge courses because of the political turmoil in Nepal and their lack of funds. However, they were able to run two non-residential bridge courses for older children.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Prakash interview 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Prakash interview 2013

Prakash spent two months in Nepal building this project as well as focusing on girls' access to education. Because of MVF's ideologies of including all children, Prakash helped motivate the communities to protect girls going to school and help bridge the gender gap of school going children. Through community mobilization and Prakash's experience as a resource, MVF was able to influence communist party members in the area to also support children's rights to education and agree to support children going to school, including girls.<sup>30</sup> Although MVF left Nepal in October 2005, the NGOs working there continue to seek out advice and use MVF as a resource in their ongoing work.

MV Foundation provided technical support to the international NGO 'Catholic Relief Services' from 2005 to 2009. MVF provided direct information on education and education related issues and also support for Catholic Relief Services (CRF) partner NGOs. Catholic Relief Services funded partner NGOs in the state of Andhra Pradesh, some of which worked on issues of child labor. CRS began asking MVF to provide technical assistance in the form of sending MVF staff out to the CRF partner in order to "support them [partner NGOs] right on the field, train them on the job and improve the quality of the program".<sup>31</sup> MV Foundation also "motivated parents, informed groups, and "used local folklore" to motivate the children" while working with CRS partner NGOs.<sup>32</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Prakash interview 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Interview with David Raj August 12, 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Interview with David Raj August 12, 2013

Doing hands-on trainings for NGOs is an integral part of MVF's bourgeoning role as a resource organization. However, strategic input is also an important facet of MVF's interaction with other NGOs. MV Foundation provides assistance to upper level staff at NGOs at the mandal, district and state levels. Because of MV Foundation was a forerunner of working on child rights and against child labor, the foundation knows which government officials and departments NGOs should network with in order to make effective changes.<sup>33</sup> According to David Raj, who has interacted with MVF while working at Action Aid, Catholic Relief Services and as an Andhra Pradesh state level government official appointed by UNICEF, MVF has helped NGOs by sharing practical experience in the field as well as higher level input on how to bring all stakeholders together."<sup>34</sup>

Because of the assistance to Catholic Relief Services and their partner NGOs from 2005 to 2009, David Raj from CRS said that he "didn't need to invest more on trainings, and monitoring would be less".<sup>35</sup>MVF's field trainings showed the NGOs directly how to run Residential Bridge Courses, gain trust in communities, carry out surveys of local children in school and out of school, and how to interact with government officials.

MVF is currently acting as a resource organization to the Girl Child Network's Uganda and Kenya Project. For a week in August 2013, MVF gave trainings to the members of the project which included professors, activists and academics from Kenya

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Interview with David Raj August 12, 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Interview with David Raj August 12, 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Interview with David Raj August 12, 2013

and Uganda who came to Hyderabad. The trainings began with workshops discussing the trajectory of MV Foundation over the past twenty years and the impact MVF has made in India. MVF arranged for speakers from the government and police force to speak about their interactions with MVF and how the MVF ideology affected their own perceptions of working children and child rights. Each session had time for question and answers from the visitors and discussion on how MVF methods could be modified and potentially used in the African context. There was also a day for the Kenya and Uganda teams to present their vision of the project and the impact they hope to have. MV Foundation took the groups for overnight stays in villages where MVF has worked in order for the visitors to speak with the local government officials, teachers and children affected by MVF's work. The week ended with the members of the Kenya and Uganda project drafting their own plans using the information from MV Foundation as a resource to their own work in Africa.<sup>36</sup>

#### **GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS**

By 1999 MV Foundation had already started working with National Child Labor Project of Ranga Reddy District. In 1999 the National Child Labor Project (NCLP) government scheme funded by ministry of labor began opening residential schools for former child laborers in Ranga Reddy District. That year MV Foundation was running multiple Residential Bridge Course camps funded by the scheme. When the government

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>The author Victoria Measles' firsthand account of the proceedings

began to take over the issue of getting all children into school, MV Foundation trained most of the government teachers teaching in the new camps run by NCLP.<sup>37</sup>Local institutions have always played a role in education, however over time the management of schools has been delegated to the central government and taken out of the hands of local leaders like Gram Panchayats.

Instead of creating a process to get children into school that only deals with the existing structures of government schools, MV Foundation works to mobilize entire communities. This includes getting the local government into planning and picking up responsibility for the education of local youth, showing them the camps and helping them see that it is possible and necessary to get all local children into school.<sup>38</sup> In order for MV Foundation to succeed, it influenced the Gram Panchayats and local leaders to put the issue of education back on the agenda. As early as 1995, Gram Panchayats have been influenced to "contribute to support for additional teachers, conduct surveys of school going and non-school going children to come to schools, talk to parents and employers, interact with school head masters, etc".<sup>39</sup>

By training government school teachers, MV Foundation has enabled them to also become resource persons. According to the MVF founder, Shantha Sinha, in the late 1990s

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Interview with Sudhakar Reddy, Project Director of the National Child Labour Project, Ranga Reddy District. August 1, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>Annual Progress Report 1995-1996. Page 2.
<sup>39</sup>Annual Progress Report 1995-1996. Page 2.

the government of Andhra Pradesh asked MV Foundation to do a training for officials. They didn't have enough staff to do the training. Luckily, the "BKVV was trained to train others, and all BKVV teachers are government schools teachers. They became our messengers, we trained them and prepared them and gave them the confidence to talk about the rights of children"<sup>40</sup> In this way, MV Foundation is continuously helping the government to perpetuate the program with less and less of MV assistance. MV foundation "designs trainings in such a manner in which government itself becomes its own resource."<sup>41</sup> That way the program does not depend on a single NGO but rather, using government resources, the program can reach children all over the state and the nation. Currently, the teacher's wing of the Forum for liberation of Child Laborers is still called BaalaKaarmikaVimochanaVedika (BKVV). The group is compiled of government teachers who work to secure children's rights in and out of school. <sup>42</sup>

According to MV Foundation, "all district level officials of the Departments of Education, Social Welfare, Women and Child Welfare in the Government of Andhra Pradesh have been given orientation and an exposure to MVF's project area."<sup>43</sup> They have also trained District Primary Education Program officials on the state level in Andhra Pradesh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Interview with Shantha Sinha on July 4, 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Sinha Interview 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Note on MVF's Strategies by M. Venkatarangaiya Foundation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> MVF, Guide to Residential Bridge Course Camps. Page 8.

From 1998 to 1999 MVF resource persons Bhaskar and Yadaiah worked with the UNDP program in the Anantapurdisctrict. The one year program was to support a government seld help group for women and children's education at the mandal and district levels. MVF also sent 4 people that year to work with the UNDP and with the government rural development sector.<sup>44</sup>

In 2000 Yadaiah worked with MVF on the State Poverty Alleviation Program. It covered 6 districts in Andhra Pradesh with 6 mandals within each district. MVF trained the staff and volunteers on the program until it was closed by the government in 2003. From there Yadaiah worked in the Andhra Pradesh district of Karapur from 2003 to 2004. In 2004 the government of Madhya Pradesh asked MV Foundation to send resource persons start residential bridge camps. Approximately 25 resource persons from MVF went to MP in 2004 and worked in 13 districts. Two hundred camps were started and ran for three years.<sup>45</sup>

In 2012 MVF started working with the AP government at the Secretariat as a resource on child marriages.<sup>46</sup> MV Foundation attends convergence meetings with government officials under the integrated child protection scheme. In the meetings MVF shares their experiences while partnering with government staff in the Child Protection Units at the district level. MVF works alongside the units to coordinate actions within

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Interview with Yadaiah. August 1, 2013. Conducted by Victoria Measles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Interview with Yadaiah. 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Interview with David Raj August 12, 2013

districts. Districts they have worked in include Mahboob Nagar, Adilabad and Kurnool. MVF share their experiences as well as their information, education and communication materials (IEC) materials including posters and pamphlets.<sup>47</sup>

Because of MV Foundation's pioneering work on child rights, the government was able to build on the Child Rights Protection Forums MV Foundation began across Andhra Pradesh. In 2009 the national Indian government passed the Integrated Child Protection Scheme (ICPS).<sup>48</sup> As a part of that scheme, state level Child Protection Committees (CPCs) were to be created in order to have local people working to protect the rights of children in their own communities.

When David Raj, State Program Manager (Child Protection) Department of Women and Child Development of Andhra Pradesh, appointed by UNICEF sent officials into the field to begin creating CPCs, they found the Child Rights Protection Forums (CRPFs) started by MV Foundation. Instead of beginning separate committees, Raj decided to expand upon the CRPFs to become CPCs and integrate child labor issues with broader issues of child rights. According to Raj, "Now it's also protecting children for exploitation,

48

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Interview with David Raj August 12, 2013

<sup>&</sup>quot;Launch of the Centrally Sponsored 'Integrated Child Protection Scheme' (ICPS)." 26 February 2009. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. Online Press Release.http://www.pib.nic.in/newsite/erelease.aspx?relid=48115

abuse, child marriages and various forms of violence. It's an add-on to what already existed."<sup>49</sup> MV Foundation's community mobilizing and organizing ended up being a resource for government officials looking to gain community support for child rights in Andhra Pradesh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Interview with David Raj August 12, 2013

#### The Influence of MVF Ideologies and Practices

#### STATE GOVERNMENT POLICY

In 1999 MVF's strategy of long-term camps for adolescent girls was adopted by the State Government at the state and district level. A total of 23 Government camps were started in 1999 out of which 9 are running in Ranga Reddy District. Acting as a resource, MVF trained the government camp teachers on how to run the camp and how to implement the bridge course.<sup>50</sup>

MVF's mobilization and trainings also empowered communities to demand policy change. "Thus on theme hand the Social Welfare Department, government of Andhra Pradesh, has formulated the 'back to school' programme which is essentially based on the 'MVF Model' bridge courses. The experience of converting NFEcentres to motivation centres for withdrawing children from work has also been adopted by the government. Thus all the NFE instructors in Ranga Reddy District were asked to take an active role as education activists in support of the programme of retention of children in day schools"<sup>51</sup> Even while participating in the non-formal education centres, MVF used them to motivate children to join formal school. Eventually, all non-formal education centres were closed in favor of bridge programs to mainstream children directly into formal government schools.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup>Annual Report April 1998 to March 1999. Page5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup>NawabpetMandal of Ranga Reddy District, Progress Report for 1996-1997. Page 21.

"Government [of AP] recognizes the particular role played by NGOs in ECL, and has been able to involve NGOs, such as ASSIST in Makapur, in implementation of some of its NCLP projects. It also has strong links to MV Foundation."<sup>52</sup> UNICEF works with national level human rights organizations like the National Human Rights Commission and supports government programmes in health and education. It is turning to work more with civil society, through NGOs, and staunchly supports the methods and work of the MVF in AP. They believe that all efforts should go into "mainstreaming" children and that Non-Formal Education schools produce an unacceptable "alternative" system. The focus of their concern is to improve education at first primary level so as to ensure a proper flow of children through the primary system: with no repeated years."<sup>53</sup>

In Andhra Pradesh currently implementation of bridge courses are split between direct government implementation and NGO implementation. Because of the Right to Education Act in 2009, the national government pays for the courses within each state. What MVF termed, 'Residential Bridge Course' camps are not called Residential special training Centres (RSTC) by the government. The government also runs day schools which are called 'Non-Residential Special Training Centres (NRSTC). Both the education and labor department's implement these trainings for children.MVF still functions as a resource travelling and giving workshops to communities, NGOs and government officials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Combating Child Labour in India: A Pilot State-Based Approach in Andhra Pradesh. International Labour Office (ILO), International Programme on the Elimination of Child Labour (IPEC) and Royal Government of the United Kingdom. June 10, 1999. Annex 1. Page 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup>ILOIPEC 1999 Annex 1 page 12

on the RTE Act and how it affects all children nation-wide, as well as explaining the new responsibilities the government has towards children and in regards to education.<sup>54</sup>

#### NATIONAL GOVERNMENT

By acting as a resource, the MVF model has been adopted by various agencies in these states:

- **Rajasthan**: Government-run LokJumbishProgramme entitled 'BalikaShibirs' for adolescent girls.
- **West Bengal**: Cini Asha uses Bridge Course camps and social mobilisation to mainstream child labour in Kolkata.
- Uttar Pradesh: CARE INDIA runs bridge courses for adolescent girls in Lucknow.
- Tamil Nadu: Government-runSlum Clearance Board project
- **Orissa:** UNDP supported programmes.
- Assam: DPEP (District Primary Education Programme) courses in 9 districts
- Madhya Pradesh: DPEP courses in 25 districts.
- Maharashtra: co-operation with partner NGOs. 55

According to RekhaWazir, "The Andhra Pradesh government has accepted the MVF innovation of residential bridge courses as an effective strategy for preparing dropouts, and out of school and working children for the class appropriate to their age. The Back to School Programme – a large-scale government initiative covering the entire state - draws

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Interview with Venkat Reddy. July 31, 2013. Interviewed by Victoria Measles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> MVF Guide to Residential Bridge Course Camps. Page 8.

heavily on the residential camp model. MVF has provided training to schoolteachers and to the State Council for Educational Research and Training and the state bureau of the District Primary Education Programme for this purpose. More recently, MVF's efforts at improving the quality of education in government schools have also been taken over by the SarvaShikshaAbiyanprogramme in Andhra Pradesh."<sup>56</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup>Wazir Review page 11

#### Conclusion

MV Foundation is not a self-serving NGO. The evidence is that over time, as MV Foundation has influenced the government to take over the bridge course programs, and has scaled down their own number of RBC Camps as a result. This is out of success, not failure. As the government takes over the responsibility of getting all children into school, the reach has expanded all over India, to children outside the areas that MV Foundation worked. The scope is broadening with the help of the government which is causing MV Foundation to implement less. MVF has gone from being an implementing organization to becoming a resource organization, and its role will continue to change as child labor is eradicated in India.

An integral part of MVF's strategy is teaching others what MVF believes and also how MVF is working, that way it can be replicated anywhere. In villages, it is first necessary to listen to the needs of the local people, in order to then implement programs to help the children get out of work and into school. Through this method MVF is able to create social norms in the village that help all children. According to MVF resource person Swamy, he and the other resource persons work as catalysts to generate new ways of thinking about child rights, rather than imposing.<sup>57</sup>

As MV Foundation has claimed since its inception, it does not seek to create a parallel institution to the government. MV Foundation seeks to improve the government

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Personal Interview with Swamy on July 31, 2013.

programs in order to better the lives of all children across India. A single NGO would never be able to have such a reach, and so MV Foundation works to make sure that the government takes up this role. Resource persons from MV Foundation train government officials, interact with the government to influence policy and seek change at a national level. MVF also works to spread its ideologies and use it's experience as a resource to share with other NGOs within India as well as worldwide in an effort to advocate child rights globally.

#### Appendix

#### NGOS IN INDIA

Indian NGOs									
State	Name of the NGO								
Andhra Pradesh	DREAM								
	CCN								
	Conare								
	SharamikaVikasaKendram								
	Homo Sapiens								
	Sodhana								
	Sagit								
Gujarat	Shaishav Trust								
Rajasthan	AMIED(AlwarMewat Institute & Education Development								
	ALARIPU								
	LokJumbish								
Maharashtra	ApekshaHomeo Society								
	Montfort								
	K.G.Foundation								
	Pratham								
	ARPHEN								
Bihar	Gram VikasSamiti (GVS) 2007								
	ARPAN								
Delhi	DIL SE								
	Navjyothi								
Uttar Pradesh	PARMARTH								
	Purvanchal Rural Development and Training Institute								
	Vigyan Foundation								
	AIM								
	ArthikAnusandan Kendra								
	SanarpanJankalyanSamithi								
	DPEP								
Madhya Pradesh	Jan Sahas								
	Samavesh								

	BACHPAN									
	BORE-Project									
	IDYWC									
	SOPAN									
	SAMPARK									
	KDSS									
	UDAY									
	EKALAVYA									
	ARAMB									
Jharkhand	CITIZEN FOUNDATION									
West Bengal	Seva Kendra									
	Vikas Kendra									
	CINI-ASHA									
	Indian Hilfe									
Orissa	ASHA									
	CPEACE									
	PREM									
	Indian Hilfe									
Tamil Nadu	Center for Street and Working Girls at Chennai									
	Hand in Hand									

#### INTERNATIONAL NGOS

International NGOs								
Country NGO								
Nepal	Aasman Nepal, on Behalf of Save the Children Fund							
International Catholic Relief Services								
	Campaign against Child Labor							
	International Labour Organization							
	UNDP							
	UNICEF							
Netherlands	India Committee of the Netherlands							
Europe	School is the Best Place to Work Campaign							

#### STATE ENROLLMENT THROUGH AIE INTERVENTIONS

	STATE WISE ENROLLMENT AND MAINSTREAMED THROUGH AIE INTERVENTIONS													
State	Year		Summer camp	RBC	NRBC	EGS/AIE centres- P & UP (Govt+N GO run)	KGBV		Others (Madarasas, migrants center, boat school, seasonal hostels, community coaching prog, etc.)	Total	Source both web link and name of the doc			
		No. of centres		856	215	3518		1005	474	6068				
	2006-07	Children Enrolled				38570				263629	http://ssa.ap.nic.in/i			
	2000-07	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc									ndex.html			
		No. of centres				419				419				
	2007.00	Children Enrolled				9872				120743	MUDD 2007 00			
AP	2007-08	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc									MHRD, 2007-08			
		No. of centres				1974				4208				
		Children Enrolled				54119				247809	http://ssa.ap.nic.in/i			
	2008-09	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc									ndex.html			
		No. of centres	318		1529				198					
TN	2010-11	Children Enrolled	5764	14358	22754	410	3100	9727		56113	http://www.ssa.tn.n			
TN	2010-11	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc	4771	11429	21681	375		12827	911	<b>51994</b>	ic.in/Schemes-E.htm			

No. of centres         Image: Children Enrolled         Image: Children	
2006-07         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         2477           No. of centres         0         2000           Children Enrolled         0         6213           2007-08         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         4313           Chandigarh         2008-09         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         4313           No. of centres         0         194           Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         194           Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         194           No. of centres         0         194           Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         194           2009-10         Children Enrolled         195           Children Enrolled         9344           2009-10         Children Enrolled         194           Children Enrolled         194         9344           Children Enrolled         194         194           Children Enrolled         194         194           Children Enrolled         199         199           Children Enrolled         117         496         5703         810         7126	
Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         2477           No. of centres         2007-08           Children Enrolled         2007-08           Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         2007-08           No. of centres         2007-08           Children Enrolled         2007-08           No. of centres         2007-08           Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         4313           Children Enrolled         2008-09           Children Enrolled         2008-09           Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         2008-09           No. of centres         2008-09           Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         2008-09           No. of centres         2008-09           Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         2008-09           Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         2009-10           No. of centres         2009-10           Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         2009-10           No. of centres         2009-10           Children Enrolled         117           2010-11         No. of centres           Children mainstreamed         2010-11           Children mainstreamed         2010-11           Children	
Chandigarh         Children Enrolled         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         All         All           2007-08         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         All         All         All           Chandigarh         2008-09         No. of centres         All         All         All           Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         All         All         All         All           2008-09         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         All         All         All           2009-10         No. of centres         All         All         All         All           2009-10         No. of centres         All         All         All         All         All           2009-10         No. of centres         All         All         All         All         All           2009-10         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc         All         All         All         All           2010-11         No. of centres         All         All         All         All         All           2010-11         No. of centres         All         All         All         All         All           2010-11         No. of centres         All         All	
No. of centres         1 <th1< th="">         1         <th1< th=""> <!--</td--><td></td></th1<></th1<>	
Chandigah       Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc       4313         No. of centres       194         Children Enrolled       194         Children Enrolled       194         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc       194         No. of centres       3581         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc       196         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc       199         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc       199         Mo. of centres       199         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc       199         Mo. of centres       199         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc       199         Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc       117         Mo. of centres       117         Children Enrolled       117         Children Enrolled       117         Children mainstreamed       117	
Chandigarh2008-09Children EnrolledImage: Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etcImage: Children mai	
Chandigarh2008-09Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etcAnttp://w nic.in/alNo. of centres112009-10Children Enrolled93442009-10Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc4060Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc117No. of centres199Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc117Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc117Children mainstreamed Children mainstreamed117Children mainstreamed117	
Chandigarh2008-09Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etcassanic.in/alNo. of centres1962009-10Children Enrolled9344Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc4060Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc197No. of centres196Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc199Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc117Children mainstreamed Children Enrolled117Children mainstreamed Children Enrolled117Children Mainstreamed Children Mainstreamed1172010-11Children mainstreamed Children Mainstreamed117Children Mainstreamed117	
Children EnrolledImage: Children EnrolledImage: Second EnrolledImage: Second EnrolledImage: Second Enrolled2009-10Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etcImage: Second EnrolledImage: Second EnrolledImage: Second Enrolled2010-11No. of centres Children EnrolledImage: Second EnrolledImage: Second EnrolledImage: Second Enrolled2010-11Children mainstreamedImage: Second EnrolledImage: Second EnrolledSecond Enrolled2010-11Children mainstreamedImage: Second EnrolledSecond EnrolledSecond Enrolled2010-11Children MainstreamedIma	tinnov.htm
Children EnrolledImage: Children EnrolledImage: State St	
Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc       4060         No. of centres       199         Children Enrolled       117       496       5703       810       7126         Children mainstreamed       117       496       5703       810       7126	
Children Enrolled         117         496         5703         810         7126           2010-11         Children mainstreamed         117         496         5703         810         7126	
Children Enrolled         117         496         5703         810         7126           2010-11         Children mainstreamed         117         496         5703         810         7126	
2010-11 Children mainstreamed 117 496 5703 810 7126	
No. of centres         1768         9197         5679         16644           Statut         5579         5579         16644         5579         16644	
Children Enrolled         56433         68244         82928         207605           2009-10                     207605               207605 <td></td>	
Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc http://w	http://www.bsppssa
	pb/awpb.ht
Children Enrolled 74012 183541 376950 634503	m
2010-11 Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc	

		No. of centres			17842			17842	
		Children Enrolled			764535			764535	
Jharkhand	2006-07	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							MHRD, 2006-07
		No. of centres	18	1137	5141		1834	8130	
		Children Enrolled	10	32040	364642		46980	443662	http://www.ssaassa
Assam	2008-09	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc			44872			177236	m.gov.in
		No. of centres			559				
Kerala	2007-08	Children Enrolled			13172				http://www.ssamis. com/web/html/aiei
Kerdia	2007-08	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc			471				nfo.html
	2010-11	No. of centres			14658				
		Children Enrolled			419642				http://www.opepa.i
Orissa		Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							n/Access.asp?glink= GL011&plink=PL059
		No. of centres			1917		1067		http://www.ssatripu
<b>.</b>	2040.44	Children Enrolled			63865		31883	95748	ra.com/achievemen
Tripura	2010-11	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc			45014		14203	59217	<u>ts2 4-8-</u> <u>10/SSA Achievemen</u> t 2009-10.pdf
		No. of centres	40	1008	733			1781	
		Children Enrolled		26030	17883			43913	http://ssamanipur.n
	2006-07	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc			17883				ic.in/EgsAie.htm
Manipur		No. of centres			829			829	
		Children Enrolled			7448		36606	44054	
	2007-08	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							<u>MHRD, 2007-08</u>

		No. of centres			48				
		Children Enrolled			3145				
	2006-07	Children mainstreamed							
		in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							MHRD, 2006-07; 2007-
Sikkim		No. of centres			37				08
		Children Enrolled			672				_
	2007-08	Children mainstreamed							
		in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							
Delhi		No. of centres	3				67		
	2000.00	Children Enrolled	160				8000		
	2008-09	Children mainstreamed			1 4050				
		in School/KGBV/NCLP etc			14059				
		No. of centres			4683				
		Children Enrolled			140490				
	2006-07	Children mainstreamed							<u>MHRD, 2006-07</u>
Uttar		in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							
Pradesh		No. of centres	731	1650	4077		3400	9858	
Tradesit	2007-08	Children Enrolled	, 01	1000	124121		0.00		http://www.upefa.c
									om/upefa/detail.ph
		Children mainstreamed							p?chk=menu&vlmid
		in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							<u>=102</u>
		No. of centres	3595		15915		1066	20576	
West	2010 11	Children Enrolled	101028		1127963		73536	1302527	http://www.wb.ssa.
Bengal	2010-11	Children mainstreamed							nic.in/Mainpage.ht
		in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							<u>m</u>
		No. of centres			2659			2659	
		Children Enrolled			7498			7498	
	2006-07	Children mainstreamed							
		in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							
Himachal Pradesh					2.47	 		347	MHRD, 2006-07, 2007-
Flauesh		<b>No. of centres</b> Children Enrolled			347 8309	 		347 8309	00
	2007-08				6509	 		0309	
		Children mainstreamed							
		in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							

		No. of centres			34373			34373	
		Children Enrolled		:	1031215			1031215	
	2006-07	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							MHRD, 2006-07, 2007-
Rajasthan		No. of centres							08
		Children Enrolled			117578			117578	
	2007-08	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							
	1								
		No. of centres			141			141	
		Children Enrolled	 		6102			6102	
Negeland	2006-07	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							MHRD, 2006-07, 2007-
Nagaland		No. of centres							<u>08</u>
		Children Enrolled					35276	35276	
	2007-08	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							
		No. of centres Children Enrolled			68 2871			68 2871	
	2006-07	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							MHRD, 2006-07, 2007-
Mizoram		No. of centres			122				08
		Children Enrolled			2979		13208	16187	
	2007-08	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							
	T								
		No. of centres			1464			1464	
		Children Enrolled			64895			64895	
	2006-07	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							MHRD, 2006-07, 2007-
Meghalaya		No. of centres			1464			1464	08
		Children Enrolled		Ì	51221		43690	94911	
	2007-08	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc							
									4

		No. of centres				8665				8665	
Maharashtr		Children Enrolled				158524				158524	
		Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc									MHRD, 2006-07, 2007-
а		No. of centres				2960				2960	<u>08</u>
		Children Enrolled				51404			153901	205305	
		Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc									
						T					
		No. of centres									
Madhya		Children Enrolled							84206	84206	MHRD, 2007-08
Pradesh		Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc									
	2010-11	No. of centres				6098	64				
		Children Enrolled				140151					http://ssakarnataka.
Karnataka		Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc									gov.in/html/int_oos c.html#
		No. of centres				2122				2122	
		Children Enrolled				36090				36090	
Arunachal		Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc									MHRD, 2006-07, 2007-
Pradesh		No. of centres				2122				2122	<u>08</u>
		Children Enrolled				42857			10945	53802	
	2006-08	Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc									
		No. of centres				30				30	
		Children Enrolled				533				533	
Karnataka		Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc									MHRD, 2007-08
		No. of centres	376				64		36346		
Tota		Children Enrolled	5764	304178	275035	4878479	3100	9727	998919	6187035	
iotai		Children mainstreamed in School/KGBV/NCLP etc	4771	11546	22177	128377	0	12827	15924	310004	

#### **Contact** Information

VICTORIA MEASLES JEANNETTE K. WATSON FELLOW PACE UNIVERSITY NEW YORK, NY, USA



**Tel**001-860-733-5237 victoria.measles@gmail.com

#### NGO Information

#### MV Foundation

201, Narayan Apartments, West Marredpally, Secunderabad - 500026, AP India Tel+91 (40) 27801320 Fax+91 (40) 27808808 Email mvfindia@gmail.com http:/www.mvfindia.in

